

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/633,274	08/01/2003	Luigi Cicinnati	U 014747-0	3377
75	590 05/27/2004		EXAM	INER
Ladas & Parry			HARTMANN, GARY S	
26 West 61 Street New York, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, -			3671	
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/633,274	CICINNATI, LUIGI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gary Hartmann	3671			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	on appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC a statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applic	cation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection	- ',				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the cont					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	'	n received in this National Stage			
* 0	application from the International E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	at received			
3	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	n received.			
Attachmen	*/~\					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice 3) Information	r No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date I Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/633,274

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "characterized by comprising" (line 1) is redundant. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Laturner (U.S. Patent 5,192,157). Laturner discloses a front impact damper having a vertical supporting member (96) secured firmly to the ground (via W, for example) and tubular members (72, 74, 76) fitted inside one another (Figures 2a-2c) and fixed to the vertical supporting member at a given height off the ground (Figures 11a and 11b, for example).

Regarding claims 2-4, 10 and 11, the structure is clearly shown in Figures 1-2c, for example.

Further regarding claim 8, member (96) meets the recitation of a metal bar.

Further regarding claim 9, member (54) meets the recitation of a spacer member.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,274 Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laturner as applied above, and further in view of Gertz (U.S. Patent 6,024,341). Laturner does not teach the corrugated shape. Gertz teaches using a corrugated sheet as an impact damper (Figure 1, for example). Further, it is well known that use of corrugated sheets with impact absorbing devices is utilized due to its superior rigidity over a flat sheet. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a corrugated shape with Laturner, in order to obtain desired structural rigidity, in accordance with the teaching of Gertz.
- 6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laturner and Gertz as applied above, and further in view of Camp (U.S. Patent 2,167,635). Laturner does not teach the C-shape. Camp teaches alternate embodiments of impact dampers in the form of tubular members (Figure 7, for example) and C-shaped members (Figures 1 and 2, for example). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have replace the tubular shape of Laturner with a C-shape in order to obtain desired damping characteristics, as is known in the art as exemplified by Camp.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,274

Art Unit: 3671

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671

gh